Motion Capture Algorithm for Students' Physical Activity Recognition in Physical Education Curriculum

Yinfu Lu^{1,2}, Haitao Long^{1,2*}

¹National University (Philippines), Manila, Philippines

²Physical Education Institute, Hunan Institute of Science and Technology, China

Corresponding Author: Haitao Long, Email: longhaitao1991@163.com

Absrtact: Physical training learning is one of the important ways to raise the national physical quality and health level. However, there are many problems in traditional physical education, such as the difficulty to identifying the effectiveness of physical education curriculum and low level of repetitive exercise content. In order to solve this problem and improve the curriculum quality of current middle school physical education courses, a motion capture algorithm based on convolutional neural network and long-term memory network is proposed, and a student physical activity capture model is constructed based on the fusion algorithm. In the performance comparison test of the fusion algorithm proposed in this study, the loss value and accuracy of this fusion algorithm are 0.045 and 0.921, respectively, significantly superior to the comparison algorithm. Then in the empirical analysis, the accuracy rate of this motion capture algorithm model proposed in this study for students' walking posture recognition in physical education courses is 91.5%, which is better than the comparative capture method. This motion capture algorithm can accurately capture the physical activities of students in physical education courses, which has practical application significance.

Keywords: physical education curriculum; gesture recognition; motion capture; CNN; LSTM

1 Introduction

As society develops and people's awareness of health increases, physical education curriculum (PEC) plays an

important role in school education [1]. PEC not only helps students' physical health development, but also cultivates their teamwork spirit and confidence. However,

traditional physical education teaching models often rely on teachers' subjective judgments and students' self-awareness, which have a certain degree of subjectivity and inaccuracy [2]. To better guide students' physical training and improve teaching effectiveness, it is of great significance to find an excellent motion capture algorithm (MCA) [3-4]. Convolutional neural network (CNN) is a deep learning algorithm commonly used in artificial neural networks to process data with grid structures [5]. CNN is successfully used in image recognition, object detection, and speech recognition [6]. Compared with traditional machine learning methods, CNN automatically learn more abstract advanced feature representation from the original data, thus improving the accuracy robustness of classification recognition [7-8]. To better recognize the physical interaction of students in PEC, this study combines CNN and long short-term memory (LSTM) and applies them to an action recognition model. It is expected that this model can accurately identify and analyze students' physical activities, thereby monitoring students' movement status, posture, and action effects in real-time, and improving PEC effectiveness. The purpose of this study is to develop a MCA for physical activity of students in physical courses. Compared education traditional MCAs, this algorithm has higher accuracy and precision, and can identify the physical activity of students more accurately. In addition, this research has innovation and contributions in the aspects of algorithm innovation, educational application, student personalized guidance and data analysis research, etc., which is expected to bring important progress and improvement to the field of physical education and sports training. This paper is divided into the following sections. Section 2 discusses the related works. Section 3.1 analyzes the MCA and the PEC evaluation model.

Section 3.2 describes the process of constructing the MCA model of PE students with CNN-LSTM algorithm. Section 4 compares and analyzes the performance of CNN-LSTM MCA and PE students' motion capture model. Section 5 summaries the full text.

2 Related works

Physical education is not only an important part of quality education, but also an important basis for comprehensive education. With the development of AI technology, MCAs have been extensively studied in various fields. To better identify human movement, Kanko et al. applied a spatio-temporal parameter new compared the measured results Bland-Altman method, mean difference, Pearson correlation coefficient intra-group correlation coefficient. Through experimental detection, the unmarked motion capture can fully measure the spatio-temporal gait parameters of healthy young people during exercise [9]. In order to improve the accuracy of human motion recognition in small and medium-sized video ranges and the computational efficiency of large-scale data sets, Gao et al. established multidimensional data models of motion recognition and motion capture based on video images with deep learning framework. After large-scale simulation data experiments, the results showed that the accuracy rate of human behavior classification was 89.79% [10]. To avoid ghost and parallax effects in image recognition, Laaroussi et al. replaced noise function with fractional Brownian motion of predetermined similarity function to detect dynamic object actions in public areas. After experimental analysis, this method could quickly and effectively find the best seam and avoid the occurrence of pseudo-ghost [11]. In order to evaluate the accuracy and processing time of CT-3DUS registration algorithm, Chan's team adopted the motion capture 3D ultrasound (3DUS) system to 3D

print and scan human vertebrae to guide posterior spinal surgery. After 191 tests of image registration, the system achieved the accuracy threshold required for surgery [12]. To effectively prevent chronic pain and disability, Onks et al. used micro-Doppler signals generated by micro-Doppler radar to identify movement differences in military environments. Experimental results showed that compared with human eyes, micro-Doppler signals could effectively identify more subtle movement patterns [13].

In physical exercise, personal exercise can not only effectively strengthen the physique, but also provide a material basis for a healthy personality. The evaluation of students' physical behavior and movement can effectively evaluate the quality results physical education courses. effectively classify physical activities, Manuel et al. divided the acceleration signals into overlapping Windows and detected the execution activities of each window based on CNN. After experimental detection, the significant performance of human activity recognition increased from 89.83% to 96.62%[14]. Wanting et al. used multiple logistic regression to analyze the correlation between physical activity and physical achievement in PE class in order to examine the relationship between physical and physical achievement of students. From the sample testing, the physical activity status of students significantly affected physical achievement [15]. To improve the accuracy of students' classroom behavior recognition algorithm, Chonggao et al. adopted cluster analysis algorithm and random forest algorithm to improve the traditional algorithm and build a network topology model. After data detection, they found that the proposed network topology model was superior to the network structure of a single feature extraction algorithm [16]. In order to identify normal activity and brute force

attack activity, Randhawa's team used decision tree, k-NN classifier, support vector machine and wearable inertial fabric sensor to conduct various experiments on machine learning (supervised) classification The experimental technology. showed that the vector algorithm provided 97.6% accuracy and 0.85 seconds of computation time for activity classification [17]. To give feedback on the performance of body movements in physical activities, Ferreira et al. proposed a validation system based on 2D human pose estimation networks and deep semantic features. Through fitting exercises, the model was correct more than 92% in 4 out of 5 exercises [18].

To sum up, the motion capture technology has become an important research topic in the field of computer vision, and has been widely applied in behavior recognition and video surveillance by experts and scholars. The recognition of students' movements in physical education is related to students' participation in class and sensitivity of body function, and affects teachers' recognition and training students' physical quality. At present, there few studies on motion capture technology for motion recognition of students in physical education. Therefore, this paper attempts to construct a motion capture system based on CNN-LSTM algorithm, and carries related out experimental research.

3 MCA for Student Physical Activity Recognition in PEC

In the PEC, the accurate identification of students' physical activity is important for teachers and students' physical education and training. However, the traditional MCA has some limitations in sports scenes, which cannot meet the needs of accurate recognition and real-time monitoring of students' movements. Therefore, this study aims to develop a MCA for physical activity recognition of students in physical education

courses. The algorithm will realize the high-precision recognition and real-time monitoring of students' movements by using CNN algorithm and LSTM algorithm. Through personalized instruction and feedback, the algorithm will help students improve movement skills and improve sports performance, providing strong support for the development of physical education and sports training.

3.1 MCA Construction Based on CNN-LSTM Algorithm Fusion

CNN is a deep learning model, which is successfully used in different fields and has become one of the most important models in deep learning [19-20]. The advantage of CNN lies in its ability to automatically learn features in images, as well as its translation invariance and local excellent connectivity, making it processing images and other two-dimensional data [21-22]. Figure 1 shows the structure and parameters of CNN.




Figure 1 Structure and Parameters of CNN

(2)

In Figure 1, the input image is added to the CNN input layer. After each convolution layer, the features are extracted to obtain the feature images C1, C2, C3, C4, and C5. After multiple convolution and pooling, these images are classified or returned through the fully connected layer. The fully connected layer means that every input neuron is connected to an output neuron. In this layer, each feature of the input data is computed with all the output neurons. It is usually used to connect the feature extraction results of the previous layer with the output layer to make the final prediction. CNN can gradually extract higher-level features by stacking multiple convolutional and pooling layers, and equation (1) is the calculation of its convolutional layers.

$$x_{j}^{l} = f\left(\sum_{i \in M_{j}}^{n} x_{i}^{l-1} * k_{i,j}^{l} + b_{j}^{i}\right)$$
(1)

In equation (1), x^l and f are the output and activation function of l-th layer respectively. x^{l-1}_{i} is the output of layer l-1. k^l and b^l_{j} are the l-th convolution

kernels and offset terms. M_j is the selected input feature set. Equation (2) is the calculation of pooling layer.

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, a_{\text{max}} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, a_{\text{ave}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 & 2.25 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, these features are mapped to different categories or regression targets through a fully connected layer. In addition, the research improves it based on activation function, regularization method, random descent gradient method and batch normalization method to increase MCA reliability. Among them, RELU is chosen as the activation function, which can reduce the linear results of CNN output. Compared with other activation functions, this function can also reduce the frequency of saturation increase convergence rate. regularization method used in the study is dropout, which can effectively reduce over-fitting problems and increase the

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but it is not yet the definitive version. Content may undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before the final publication.

Citation information: Yinfu Lu, Haitao Long, Motion Capture Algorithm for Students' Physical Activity Recognition in Physical Education Curriculum, *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Technology* (2023), DOI: https://doi.org/10.37965/jait.2023.0447

robustness of CNN [23-24] in equation (3).

$$H(p,q) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log q(x)$$
 (3)

In equation (3), p(x) stands for the target distribution. q(x)refers to the predicted distribution. The random gradient descent can optimize neural network training. It takes the gradient as the expectation, and samples the total data with small samples, thus reducing the total amount of extracted data and the gradient batch update time [25-26]. The normalization method is applied to convolution layer reduce features to correlation obtained from training, and then accelerate its convergence rate. The motion capture object proposed in this study is the video data of students' physical activities. To conduct time series related recognition research on videos, this study combines CNN and LSTM to construct an MCA based on CNN-LSTM. LSTM is a special recurrent neural network, which can be used to deal with gradient disappearance and explosion encountered gradient traditional RNN when processing long sequences [27]. LSTM can realize long-term memory and control information flow by introducing memory unit and mechanism [28]. Equation (4) is the calculation of forgetting gates.

$$f_t = \sigma \left(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right) \tag{4}$$

 h_{t-1} represents the previous hidden layer's output in equation (4). σ is a sigmoid function. x_t represents the current input. W and b represent the weight matrix and bias. Figure 2 shows the proposed CNN-LSTM MCA structure.




Figure 2 CNN-LSTM MCA

In Figure 2, the CNN-LSTM MCA in this study proposed includes CNN-based spatial flow network module and an LSTM-based temporal flow module. This study trained the spatial flow module and temporal flow module separately. In the spatial flow module, research will extract RBG data from action data as input to the spatial flow network for spatial training. After inputting RBG data into the spatial flow module, the data is feature-extracted using CNN and iteratively calculated based on the sum of time series features using LSTM. The operation process of time flow module is similar to the space flow module. However, its input is the optical flow field form data extracted from the action data. Finally, this study weighted and fused the spatial flow network module and the time flow network module through category scores to achieve the recognition and capture of student actions.

3.2 Integrating Improved MCA into a PEC Student Body Action Recognition Model

The study of student body movement recognition in PEC refers to using computer vision and machine learning techniques to automatically recognize and analyze the body movements performed by students on PEC [29-30]. The development of this research has made certain progress and has

broad application prospects in education. In this study, CNN-LSTM MCA is constructed and applied to PEC, and a PEC student action recognition model based on fusion algorithm is constructed. It is expected to improve the effectiveness and accuracy of students' body movement recognition. Figure 3 shows the overall framework of this model.




Figure 3 Construction Process of Student Action Recognition Model in Physical Education Classroom

In Figure 3, this study inputs student action recognition data into a student action recognition model, which extracts data features in both optical flow and RBG frame formats, and divides the data into training and testing sets. Subsequently, the training set data are preprocessed. The optical flow dataset RBG data from the training set are input into spatial and time flow network modules for training. Further spatial flow network optimization during the model construction process is studied, and residual networks, concat layers, and local corresponding normalization layers are introduced into the spatial flow network. Among them, the introduction of residual network is used to solve the problem of vanishing gradient problem of spatial flow network, and the introduction of concat layer is to reduce over fitting. Finally, the

local corresponding normalization layer can distinguish them based on the strength of neuron feedback response, thus enhancing the generalization of spatial flow network. Equation (5) is a normalized calculation.

$$x^* = \frac{x_i - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}} \quad (5)$$

In equation (5), x^* represents the normalized data. x_i is the i-th data in dataset. x_{\min} and x_{\max} are the minimum and maximum values in dataset, respectively. Finally, these two modules are weighted and fused to construct an action recognition model. Finally, the test set data are input into the recognition model and the action recognition results are obtained. Figure 4 shows a weighted fused student action recognition model.




Figure 4. PEC Student Action Recognition Model

In Figure 4, the optical flow data include horizontal and vertical optical flows in a plane coordinate system. In the study, the optical flow data extraction method is used to reduce the scale of horizontal and vertical optical flow data to [128, +128]. Subsequently, this study combines vertical and horizontal optical flow data into three channels of optical flow and combines them with RGB frame data extracted from action video data. The extracted long video images are preprocessed and randomly edited into multiple frame sequences. Then, these two edited data streams are inputted into their respective CNNs through three channels, and the obtained vector features are input into LSTM at both levels. Then, feature fusion is performed on the output data of CNN and LSTM through full connectivity, and a softmax classifier is used to perform probability averaging on the predicted labels in each frame to obtain video segment classification results for RGB frames and optical flow data. Finally, this study weights and merges two classification results obtained by training two data streams separately in a certain proportion to achieve human motion recognition.

4 Performance Comparison and Empirical Analysis of CNN-LSTM Algorithm

To analyze the practical application effect of the CNN-LSTM algorithm proposed in the study and the student action


recognition model based on the CNN-LSTM algorithm, the superiority of the CNN-LSTM algorithm is demonstrated through comparative analysis in this chapter, and the diagnostic accuracy of the fault diagnosis model is verified.

4.1 Performance Comparison and Analysis of CNN-LSTM MCA

To better recognize students' physical movements in PEC, CNN and LSTM algorithms are fused to obtain CNN-LSTM MCA. To analyze the actual performance of CNN-LSTM MCA, the research compares it with LSTM algorithm, RCNN and CNN, and takes loss curve, accuracy rate, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and accuracy rate as comparison indicators. The data set used in this comparison experiment is KTH which is a classic motion dataset. recognition dataset and is often used in the research of MCAs. It contains 2,391 sets of data covering six different actions, each performed by 25 people in four different scenarios. Thus, the KTH dataset has a total of 600 video sequences, and each can be further segmented into 4 subsequences. The motion of this data set is standardized and the fixed lens is used, which is relatively rich for the motion recognition task. The performance of these four algorithms is compared by comparing each index on the **KTH** data set. The experimental environment for this comparative experiment is as follows. The graphics card

model used in this study is NVIDIA Ge Force GTX 1070, with a graphics memory capacity of 8GB. The computer CPU model is Intel i3-7100, with a main frequency of 3.9GHz and a memory of 8GB. Windows 7

is used as the computer system, and simulation is conducted using Matlab2017 software. Figure 5 shows the loss curve results and accuracy results of four algorithms.







Figure 5 Loss Curve Results and Accuracy Results of Four Algorithms

In Figure 5 (a), as iteration increases, the maximum loss values of all four algorithms show a decreasing trend, and the minimum loss value of CNN-LSTM algorithm is 0.045. It is lower than LSTM algorithm's 0.088, RCNN's 0.124, and CNN's 0.193. In Figure 5 (b), as iteration increases, the accuracy of all four algorithms shows an upward trend, and

CNN-LSTM algorithm has the highest accuracy after stabilization, at 0.921. It is higher than the LSTM algorithm's 0.863, RCNN's 0.815, and CNN's 0.796. In the dimensions of loss curve and accuracy, the combined CNN-LSTM algorithm's performance is superior to that of other comparison algorithms. Figure 6 shows the accuracy and ROC of four algorithms.






Figure 6 Accuracy and ROC Results of Four Algorithms

In Figure 6 (a), among four algorithms, the accuracy of CNN-LSTM algorithm is significantly better than other three comparative algorithms. The average accuracy of CNN LSTM algorithm is 94.3%. It is 89.6% higher than LSTM algorithm, 80.3% higher than CRNN algorithm, and 75.8% higher than CNN algorithm. In

Figure 6 (b), among four algorithms, the ROC area of CNN-LSTM algorithm is larger than other three comparative methods. The ROC area of CNN-LSTM algorithm is 0.82, which is higher than 0.76 of LSTM algorithm, 0.64 of CRNN algorithm, and 0.61 of CNN algorithm. In terms of accuracy and ROC dimensions, the

combined CNN-LSTM algorithm's performance is superior to that of other comparison algorithms. Then, the PR curve and AUC area of the four algorithms are

carried out. The results of the PR curve and AUC curve of the four algorithms are shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7 AUC and PR Curves of Four Algorithms

Figure 7(a) shows the PR curve of the four algorithms, and the PR curve represents the relationship between the accuracy rate and the recall rate. From Figure 7(a), CNN-LSTM completely covers the other three algorithms, with the maximum area of 0.91. It can be seen that the learning performance of CNN-LSTM algorithm is better than the other three algorithms, and the area of CNN algorithm, algorithm and LSTM algorithm is 0.52, 0.62, and 0.76 in order. Figure 7(b) shows the AUC curve. AUC is an evaluation index to measure the quality of the classification model, and the probability that the positive cases are in front of the negative cases is predicted. From Figure 7(b), the area of CNN-LSTM is 0.82, which is the maximum value. The area of CNN algorithm is 0.49, the area of RCNN algorithm is 0.9, and the area of LSTM is 0.62. algorithm The **CNN-LSTM** algorithm has better classification accuracy and learning ability, followed by LSTM algorithm and CNN algorithm. Based on the comparison of these above dimensions, the

combined CNN-LSTM algorithm's performance is far superior to LSTM algorithm, RCNN, and CNN. Therefore, applying it to PEC student action recognition can improve the accuracy of action recognition.

4.2 Performance Testing of Improved MCA

The above content provides comparative analysis of the performance of CNN-LSTM MCA proposed in this study. To further analyze the practical application effect of this MCA, five classes of students from a certain junior high school are selected as the research subjects. In the experiment, five classes of students are selected as recognition objects for their walking, jogging, running, boxing, waving, clapping, and other postures in PEC. CNN-LSTM MCA, the traditional motion capture method and image-based motion capture method are used to recognize students' motion in posture PEC, respectively. Figure 8 shows the accuracy results of three different methods for students' motion capture.




Figure 8 Accuracy Results of Three Different Methods for Students' Motion Capture

In Figure 8, among these three methods, CNN-LSTM MCA has a higher recognition accuracy for students' various motion postures in PEC than other two motion recognition algorithms. And its recognition accuracy for walking posture is 91.5%. The recognition accuracy for jogging posture is 89.8%. The recognition accuracy for running posture is 90.4%. The recognition accuracy of boxing posture is 88.8%. The

recognition accuracy for waving posture is 90.1%. The CNN-LSTM MCA proposed in this study has high recognition accuracy for various postures of PEC students, and is superior to the comparative action recognition method. addition, In the research uses the confusion matrix to represent the proposed CNN-LSTM MCA's recognition results in the above dataset in Figure 9.




Figure 9 Confusion Matrix of Action Recognition Based on Student Attitude Dataset

From Figure 9, the algorithm has a low confusion rate for students' gestures. The CNN-LSTM MCA has good performance in terms of the data set selected in the study. Therefore, the practical application of

CNN-LSTM MCA is good, and its application in the movement recognition of students in physical education courses can effectively identify students' movements and promote the good development of physical

education courses. Then in order to test the superiority of CNN-LSTM model, we start from four indexes: AUC curve, precision rate, recall rate and accuracy rate.

CNN-LSTM is compared with single algorithm of CNN and LSTM and mixed algorithm of Video-STM and DC-LSTM. The result is shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10 Model Comparison Test

The bar chart in the left half of Figure 10 shows the comparative analysis between CNN-LSTM and other single algorithm models. As can be seen from the figure. Compared with single CNN algorithm and LSTM algorithm, DC-LSTM is superior in terms of accuracy, accuracy, AUC, and recall rate. Among them, in terms of recall rate and accuracy, DC-LSTM is outstanding, with a recall rate of 0.94 and an accuracy rate of 0.92. The right half of Figure 10 shows the performance analysis DC-LSTM compared with other hybrid models. It can be intuitively seen from the figure that the DC-LSTM algorithm exceeds the other two hybrid models in the four indexes of AUC curve, precision rate, recall rate, and accuracy rate. The DC-LSTM algorithm has excellent performance in recall rate and accuracy rate. The recall rate of DC-LSTM is 0.95, and the accuracy rate is 0.93. The AUC curve, precision, recall, and accuracy of Video-STM were 0.71, 0.83,

0.61, and 0.73, respectively. The AUC curve, precision, recall and accuracy of DC-LSTM are 0.72, 0.69, 0.68, and 0.80, respectively. Compared with other algorithms, DC-LSTM is more stable. To sum up, DC-LSTM has more advantages than single CNN and LSTM algorithms, and DC-LSTM also has more advantages than Video-STM and DC-LSTM mixed model. Therefore, DC-LSTM can help identify and analyze motion capture in physical students' education classroom, in order to assist physical education and to improve students' physical quality.

5 Conclusion

In response to the low curriculum quality in middle school PEC, this study aimed to integrate CNN with long-term and short-term networks to obtain the CNN-LSTM algorithm. Based on this algorithm, a model was proposed to capture the physical activities of students in PEC. The performance comparison analysis of the

proposed CNN-LSTM algorithm showed this algorithm's loss value was 0.045. It was lower than LSTM algorithm's 0.088, RCNN's 0.124, and CNN's 0.193. Its accuracy was 0.921, which was higher than LSTM algorithm's 0.863, RCNN's 0.815, and CNN's 0.796. Subsequently, in the practical verification of MCA, the proposed algorithm achieved recognition accuracy of 91.5%, 89.8%, 90.4%, 88.8%, and 90.1% for walking posture, jogging posture, running posture, boxing posture, and waving posture, respectively. In the analysis and comparison between DC-LSTM and the single algorithm, DC-LSTM had a higher recall rate than CNN and LSTM algorithms' 0.23 and 0.18, respectively, and a higher accuracy than CNN and LSTM algorithms' 0.31 and 0.04, respectively. comparison between DC-LSTM and hybrid model, the recall rate of DC-LSTM and DC-LSTM hybrid algorithm was about 0.34 and 0.25, respectively, and the accuracy was about 0.26 and 0.25, respectively, higher these of the Video-STM DC-LSTM hybrid algorithm. The above results showed that the MCA based on CNN-LSTM algorithm had a high accuracy and could promote the reform and development of middle school However, this research still had the problem of insufficient generality of the proposed algorithm. The subsequent direction is to improve the generality of the algorithm, so as to improve the application field of the algorithm.

References

- [1] Waziri T A, Ibrahim A. Discrete Fix Up Limit Model of a Device Unit. Journal of Computational and Cognitive Engineering, 2022, 2(2): 163-167.
- [2] Aikhuele D. Development of a statistical reliability-based model for the estimation and optimization of a spur gear system. Journal of Computational and Cognitive Engineering, 2022, 2(2): 168-174.
- [3]Sarkar A, Biswas A, Kundu M.

- Development of q-Rung Orthopair Trapezoidal Fuzzy Einstein Aggregation Operators and Their Application in MCGDM Problems. Journal of Computational and Cognitive Engineering, 2022, 1(3): 109-121.
- [4]Ma B, Fu Y, Wang C, Li J, Wang Y. A high-performance insulators location scheme based on YOLOv4 deep learning network with GDIoU loss function. IET image processing, 2022, 16(4):1124-1134.
- [5]Wan K, Liu X, Yu J, Zhang X, Du X, Guizani N. Compiler-Based Efficient CNN Model Construction for 5G Edge Devices. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2021, 22(8):5261-5274.
- [6]Zhang C, Zhu F, Lv Y, Ye P, Wang F. MLRNN: Taxi Demand Prediction Based on Multi-Level Deep Learning and Regional Heterogeneity Analysis. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2022, 23(7):8412-8422.
- [7]He J, Li C, Wang P, Liu Y, Liu B, Xing D, Wang Z. Soliton Molecule Dynamics Evolution Prediction Based on LSTM Neural Networks. IEEE Photonics Technology Letters, 2022, 34(3):193-196.
- [8]Xu X, Duan H, Guo Y, Deng Y. A Cascade Adaboost and CNN Algorithm for Drogue Detection in UA VAutonomous Aerial Refueling. Neurocomputing, 2020, 408(3):121-134.
- [9]Kanko R M, Laende E K, Strutzenberger G, Brown M, Deluzio K. Assessment of spatiotemporal gait parameters using a deep learning algorithm-based markerless motion capture system. Journal of Biomechanics, 2021, 122(7):0021-0090.
- [10]Gao P, Zhao D, Chen X. Multi-dimensional data modelling of video image action recognition and motion capture in deep learning framework. IET Image Processing, 2020, 14(7):1257-1264.
- [11]Laaroussi S , Baataoui A , Halli A ,Satori, K. Dynamic mosaicking: combining A* algorithm with fractional

Brownian motion for an optimal seamline detection. IET Image Processing, 2020, 14(13):3169-3180.

[12]Chan A, Coutts B, Parent E, Lou, E..Development and Evaluation of CT-to-3D Ultrasound Image Registration Algorithm in Vertebral Phantoms for Spine Surgery. Annals of Biomedical Engineering, 2020, 49(1):310-321.

[13]Onks Cayce, Hall Donald, Ridder Tyler, Idriss acharie, Andrie Joseph, Narayanan Ram.The accuracy and predictability of micro Doppler radar signature projection algorithm measuring functional movement in NCAA athletes - ScienceDirect. Gait & Posture, 2021, 85(2):96-102.

[14]Manuel Gil-Martín, Rubén San-Segundo, Fernando Fernández-Martínez, Javier Ferreiros-López. Improving physical activity recognition using a new deep learning architecture and post-processing techniques. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 2020,92(2):91-101.

[15] Wanting Lin JD, Julien Leider MA, Shang, C, Hennessy E, Edd F, M P, Mhs J F C. The association between state physical education laws and student physical activity - sciencedirect. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, (2020), 58(3):436-445.

[16]Chonggao P. Simulation of student classroom behavior recognition based on cluster analysis and random forest algorithm. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 2021, 40(2):2421-2431.

[17]Randhawa P, Shanthagiri V, Kumar A. Violent activity recognition by E-textile sensors based on machine learning methods. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 2020, 39(6):8115-8123.

[18] Ferreira B, Ferreira P M, Pinheiro G, Figueiredo N, Carvalho F, Menezes, P. Deep learning approaches for workout repetition counting and validation. Pattern Recognition Letters, 2021, 151(9):259-266. [19] WANG Wei, AN You-wei, HUANG

Zhan, DING Feng, BAI Cheng-xu. The Implementation of Infrared Image Edge Detection Algorithm Based on CNN on FPGA. Guangzi Xuebao/Acta Photonica Sinica, 2012, 41(11):1354-1358.

[20]Li L, Hassan M A, Yang S, Jing F, Yang M, Rasheed A, Wang J, Xia X, He Z, Xiao Y. Development of image-based wheat spike counter through a Faster R-CNN algorithm and application for genetic studies. Journal of Crops: English Edition, 2022(005):1303-1311.

[21]Zhang K, Shen H. Solder Joint Defect Detection in the Connectors Using Improved Faster-RCNN Algorithm. Applied Sciences, 2021, 11(2):576.

[22]Sun Y, Xue B, Zhang M, Yen GG, Lv J. Automatically Designing CNN Architectures Using the Genetic Algorithm for Image Classification. IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics, 2020, 50(9):1-15.

[23]D. Kang, D. Kang, S. Ha. Multi-Bank On-Chip Memory Management Techniques for CNN Accelerators. IEEE Transactions on Computers, 2022, 71(5):1181-1193.

[24]Long X M, Chen Y J, Zhou J. Development of AR Experiment on Electric-Thermal Effect Open bv Framework with Simulation-Based Asset User-Defined Input[C]//Artificial and Intelligence and Applications. 2023, 1(1): 52-57.

[25]Nsugbe E. Toward a Self-Supervised Architecture for Semen Quality Prediction Using Environmental and Lifestyle Factors//Artificial Intelligence and Applications. 2023, 1(1): 35-42.

[26]Choudhuri S, Adeniye S, Sen A. Distribution Alignment Using Complement Entropy Objective and Adaptive Consensus-Based Label Refinement For Partial Domain Adaptation[C]//Artificial Intelligence and Applications. 2023, 1(1): 43-51.

[27]Xu Z, Wu F, Yang X, Li Y. Measurement of Gas-Oil Two-Phase Flow Patterns by Using CNN Algorithm Based on

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but it is not yet the definitive version. Content may undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before the final publication.

Citation information: Yinfu Lu, Haitao Long, Motion Capture Algorithm for Students' Physical Activity Recognition in Physical Education Curriculum, *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Technology* (2023), DOI: https://doi.org/10.37965/jait.2023.0447

Dual ECT Sensors with Venturi Tube. Sensors, 2020, 20(4):1200-1218. [28] Wei P, Jiang T, Peng H, Jin H, Huang H. Coffee Flower Identification Using Binarization Algorithm Based on Convolutional Neural Network for Digital Images. Plant Phenomics, 2020,

[29]Bellary M Z, Fameeza F, Musthafa D. The Mri Knee Pain Classification Using Cnn Algorithm And Segmentation Using

2020(5):101-115.

Clustering Algorithm. TurkishJournal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT), 2021, 12(10):306-315.
[30]Joy F, Vijayakumar V. MULTIPLE OBJECT DETECTION IN SURVEILLANCE VIDEO WITH DOMAIN ADAPTIVE INCREMENTAL FAST RCNN ALGORITHM. Indian Journal of Computer Science and Engineering, 2021, 12(4):1018-1026.