Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Technology, (Ahead of Print)
https://doi.org/10.37965/jait.2025.0729

ISTP
RESEARCH ARTICLE

Technical Report Writing Efficiency Using AI-Powered Tools: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Directions

Mohammad Awad AlAfnan
Liberal Arts Department, American University of the Middle East, Egaila, Kuwait

(Received 8 January 2025; Revised 4 May 2025; Accepted 28 May 2025; Published online 8 July 2025)

Abstract: The study explores the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing the efficiency and quality of technical report writing, focusing on commonly used tools such as grammar checkers, ChatGPT-based drafting assistants, and citation management software. Using a mixed-methods approach, comprising expert interviews and a survey of 83 technical writers, the research examines how AI technologies are currently integrated into professional writing practices and evaluates their impact across efficiency, accuracy, and ethical dimensions. The findings indicate that AI tools offer substantial time savings, especially in automating repetitive tasks such as grammar correction, referencing, and document structuring. They also improve linguistic clarity and consistency in terminology. However, experts caution that AI tools still struggle with domain-specific accuracy and contextual interpretation, limiting their effectiveness in specialized fields like engineering and business. Moreover, ethical concerns, including potential plagiarism, authorship ambiguity, and over-reliance among novice writers, emerge as critical challenges. Despite these limitations, the study finds that AI can play a valuable role as a collaborative partner in technical writing when paired with human oversight. It advocates for developing domain-adaptive AI systems and calls for more straightforward ethical guidelines to support responsible integration. While the research sample is modest and primarily technology-focused, the study offers meaningful contributions to the discourse on AI in technical communication. It provides practical recommendations for leveraging AI tools effectively while preserving the critical role of human expertise, judgment, and ethical responsibility in technical documentation.

Keywords: accuracy; artificial intelligence; efficiency; ethical concerns; technical writing

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has profoundly impacted various sectors, revolutionizing how we approach problem-solving, communication, and productivity [1]. One domain where AI has begun to make significant strides is in technical report writing, a field traditionally requiring a high degree of expertise and precision. Technical reports are integral in many industries, particularly scientific research, engineering, and technology, as they communicate complex information, methodologies, findings, and conclusions. These reports require clarity, accuracy, and a structured format to ensure that various stakeholders, including technical experts, policymakers, and the public, understand the content [2,3].

Writing a technical report can be time-consuming, error-prone, and labor-intensive. For instance, writing involves synthesizing large amounts of data, adhering to strict formatting standards, managing citations, and ensuring the narrative is technically precise and accessible. These tasks require domain-specific knowledge and an excellent command of language, structure, and style. As such, technical report writing presents a significant challenge, especially when time constraints or large volumes of data are involved [4].

AI, mainly through Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms, presents an opportunity to streamline and enhance this process [5–7]. AI tools have already shown promise in improving technical writing, from content generation to grammar and language refinement. One of the key advantages of using AI in technical report writing is its ability to automate routine tasks, such as generating templates, suggesting sentence structures, and even creating drafts from raw data. This increases efficiency and reduces the cognitive load on writers, allowing them to focus more on critical analysis and decision-making.

In addition to enhancing productivity, AI can improve the quality of technical reports [8]. AI systems, such as grammar checkers and style editors, can ensure consistency in language use, eliminate grammatical errors, and enforce formatting rules, which are crucial for maintaining clarity and professionalism in technical writing [9]. Furthermore, advanced AI tools can assist in refining the technical accuracy of reports by cross-referencing information, suggesting appropriate terminologies, and even providing real-time updates from external data sources.

Adopting AI in technical report writing has several challenges despite the clear advantages. One of the primary concerns is the AI's ability to fully understand and interpret complex technical concepts and contexts [10]. While AI-powered tools have made impressive strides in language generation, they still face limitations regarding contextual comprehension, especially in highly specialized fields. This can lead to errors or inaccuracies in the generated content, which may require human oversight to correct. Another issue is the ethical consideration of using AI in technical writing. Concerns about plagiarism, intellectual property, and the potential for AI to "replace" human writers need to be addressed. While AI can generate content based on pre-existing data, it lacks a human

Corresponding author: Mohammad Awad AlAfnan (e-mail: mohammad.al-afnan@aum.edu.kw).

expert's creative and analytical abilities. As such, there is a growing debate about the role of AI as a complement to, rather than a replacement for, human expertise in technical writing.

Integrating AI tools into the writing process presents challenges related to training and accessibility [11]. Not all writers or technical professionals are well-versed in using AI tools, and there may be resistance to adopting these technologies in traditional fields where manual writing practices have been the norm for decades. Training programs and guidelines will be essential to ensure that technical writers can effectively leverage AI without sacrificing the quality of their work.

This article aims to explore these opportunities and challenges in greater detail, assessing the potential of AI tools to revolutionize technical report writing. Specifically, the research focuses on AI's impact on the efficiency, accuracy, and overall quality of technical reports while examining AI adoption's ethical and practical considerations in this domain [12–14]. Additionally, the article explores the future of AI in technical communication, addressing how emerging technologies like deep learning and advanced NLP models could shape the landscape of technical writing. This article seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI can assist technical writers in improving their workflow, accuracy, and output quality by analyzing expert opinions. Moreover, the study will evaluate the limitations and potential risks associated with AI in technical report writing, offering recommendations for implementing these technologies responsibly and effectively.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The application of AI in various sectors, particularly in technical writing, has garnered significant attention in recent years, as evidenced by numerous studies and articles documenting its advancements and implications [15–17]. Technical report writing, a specialized form of written communication designed to convey complex information, research findings, and detailed analyses, has historically been labor-intensive. This writing style demands high levels of technical knowledge, precision, and clarity to effectively communicate intricate ideas to a diverse audience. With the rapid advancement of AI technologies, there is an increasing interest in exploring their potential to transform and enhance the technical writing processes. AI tools are being investigated for their ability to improve productivity by automating certain aspects of documentation, thereby allowing technical writers to focus on more strategic tasks. Furthermore, AI has the potential to ensure greater accuracy in documentation, minimizing human error and optimizing content quality [18]. This literature review examines the existing body of research focused on integrating AI into technical report writing. It will provide insights into AI-powered tools currently available, discuss their numerous benefits, identify the challenges faced during implementation, and outline future directions for research in this rapidly evolving field.

The landscape of academic research has increasingly focused on the development of advanced AI-driven tools that are specifically designed to assist not only in the generation of content but also in enhancing its structure and refining its language. A crucial AI subfield, NLP, has emerged as a central player in these groundbreaking innovations. NLP is dedicated to understanding and facilitating the interactions between computers and human language, which is essential for creating tools that function effectively in real-world scenarios. Khan *et al.* [19] emphasize that cutting-edge AI-powered tools like ChatGPT, along with other sophisticated NLP models, can generate coherent narratives that are contextually relevant based on the specific inputs provided by the user. These models serve as invaluable assistants to writers by not only drafting various sections of technical reports but also by suggesting language improvements and offering nuanced stylistic refinements that enhance the overall quality of the text. For instance, widely used tools such as Grammarly and ProWritingAid harness the power of AI algorithms to meticulously identify and rectify grammatical errors, propose effective sentence rephrasing, and maintain consistency throughout the writing style. These tools utilize vast databases and advanced machine learning techniques to provide real-time feedback, which can significantly elevate the quality of written communication. Moreover, Yang and Han [20] report that these AI-driven tools have proven particularly effective in improving the readability of technical documents. By streamlining language and enhancing clarity, such tools enable writers to devote more attention to high-level cognitive tasks, including analysis and interpretation of complex information. This shift not only elevates the quality of the written work but also empowers writers to engage more meaningfully with the content they are producing, ultimately leading to better outcomes in technical communication.

One of the most significant benefits of integrating AI into technical report writing is the remarkable automation of repetitive tasks. This advancement can lead to a substantial reduction in the amount of time and effort required to produce high-quality reports. According to Liu *et al.* [21], AI can automate several routine activities typically involved in writing. These activities include, but are not limited to, citation management, document formatting, and the generation of standardized templates. The implications of this automation are manifold. By automating these mundane and often time-consuming tasks, productivity is markedly boosted. Additionally, there is a significant reduction in the likelihood of human error occurring in crucial aspects of the writing process, such as accurate referencing and meticulous layout alignment. Tools already in use in the academic and technical writing fields, such as EndNote and Zotero, leverage AI technologies to manage citations and bibliographies effectively, showcasing the practical applications of this integration. Moreover, the automation of these repetitive tasks has a profound impact on the efficiency and effectiveness of technical writers. With AI handling the more mechanical aspects of report writing, technical writers can reallocate their valuable time and energy towards more critical components of the writing process. This includes deep content analysis, insightful interpretation, and informed decision-making, all of which contribute to elevating the overall quality of the reports produced. By allowing AI to manage routine tasks, technical writers can focus their expertise on crafting well-analyzed and thoroughly interpreted content, ultimately leading to more impactful and professionally presented reports. Despite the clear advantages of AI in technical writing, several challenges remain in fully integrating AI into this field [22–24]. One of the key concerns highlighted in the literature is the ability of AI tools to understand and interpret complex technical concepts. AI-driven systems often struggle with domain-specific knowledge, which can result in inaccuracies or misinterpretations of technical data. As Ching *et al.* [25] noted, while AI models have shown remarkable capabilities in generating grammatically correct text, they still lack the deep understanding required to write with complete technical precision. This limitation is particularly evident in highly specialized fields such as engineering, medicine, and scientific research, where technical reports demand a nuanced understanding of specific terminology and methodologies. Consequently, human expertise remains essential in ensuring the

accuracy and reliability of the content, even when AI tools assist in the writing process.

Another significant challenge highlighted in the existing literature is the ethical implications of utilizing AI in the realm of technical writing [26]. As AI-powered tools become increasingly prevalent and integral to content generation, many questions and concerns emerge regarding crucial aspects such as intellectual property rights, plagiarism issues, and the nature of authorship itself. According to the research conducted by Zhai *et al.* [27], there are notable apprehensions that an over-reliance on AI technology could result in a pronounced deficiency of originality within written content. This concern stems from how AI models operate, generating text based on pre-existing data rather than cultivating or presenting novel insights that showcase unique human creativity or thought processes. Moreover, incorporating AI in generating reports and other technical documents prompts significant ethical questions surrounding accountability. This is particularly pressing when errors, omissions, or inaccuracies may be inadvertently introduced by AI-generated content, raising the stakes for those relying on this technology. Human oversight and intervention play a crucial role in ensuring that the final output adheres to required ethical standards and meets the high academic benchmarks expected in scholarly writing. This pressing issue of trust in AI-generated content is thoughtfully explored by Roberts *et al.* [28], who contend that while AI can serve as a valuable tool to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of technical writing, it should serve to complement rather than replace human expertise. The optimal approach would thus be to enable a harmonious partnership between AI technology and skilled human writers, facilitating the production of high-quality, ethical, and original technical documents.

The future of AI in technical report writing is poised for significant transformation, primarily driven by the continuous development of more advanced and context-aware AI models. Researchers and technologists are actively exploring the vast potential of deep learning algorithms, designed to mimic human cognition's intricate patterns. They also implement domain-specific AI systems tailored to comprehend technical jargon and adapt to various writing styles inherent to different fields. For instance, Lee [29] elaborates on the promising prospects of specialized AI systems meticulously trained in particular domains, such as medical and engineering texts. Such systems are expected to greatly enhance the accuracy and relevancy of AI-generated content by integrating essential industry-specific knowledge and terminologies. By doing so, these models can produce more coherent and contextually appropriate reports and ensure compliance with the rigorous standards expected in professional environments. Furthermore, AI advancements could serve as a bridge connecting AI's current limitations with the increasingly complex demands of technical report writing. As these systems evolve, they will likely become indispensable tools for professionals, allowing for improved efficiency and productivity in the writing process. The integration of AI in this realm can revolutionize how technical reports are authored, reviewed, and disseminated, ultimately leading towards a more streamlined and automated documentation process capable of meeting the diverse needs of its users.

In recent years, using AI tools has emerged as a transformative force in technical writing, particularly in fostering team collaboration [30]. These advanced technologies can significantly enhance the collaborative writing process by providing real-time suggestions and ensuring high consistency, especially in extensive reports created by multiple authors. According to Kumar [30], AI-driven platforms are designed to improve collaboration by streamlining content, language, and structure alignment among various contributors [31]. This alignment fosters a smoother writing process and minimizes the necessity for extensive revisions and edits, making the workflow more efficient. The impact of such enhancements is particularly pronounced in industries that require producing technical reports, where teams of experts from various disciplines often come together. In these scenarios, AI tools can act as a bridge, facilitating communication and coherence in documents encompassing diverse fields of expertise. This improvement in teamwork and productivity is essential in delivering high-quality technical documentation on time.

The body of literature on AI and technical report writing brings to light the potential benefits and challenges of integrating AI technologies into this essential field. AI-driven tools can significantly enhance productivity, elevate writing quality, and streamline repetitive or mundane tasks that often bog down the report-writing process. However, it is crucial to recognize their inherent limitations, particularly when comprehending complex technical concepts and the accompanying ethical concerns that may arise. These limitations necessitate a careful and nuanced approach to adopting AI in technical contexts. As the technology surrounding AI continues to progress and evolve, future research efforts are anticipated to increasingly focus on addressing these existing challenges. This may involve developing specialized AI systems to understand specific contexts within technical writing, thereby creating a more tailored and effective support system for human writers. Furthermore, there is a growing interest in exploring the role of AI in facilitating collaborative technical writing, where teams can leverage AI capabilities to enhance their collective outputs. Ultimately, while AI promises to profoundly transform the landscape of technical report writing, its implementation must be approached thoughtfully. The goal should be to ensure that these advanced tools complement human expertise, rather than supplant it. This careful integration will be pivotal in maximizing the benefits of AI while maintaining the essential human elements crucial for high-quality technical communication.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study employed a mixed-methods research design to investigate the impact of AI tools on technical report writing, focusing on efficiency, accuracy, and ethical considerations. The methodology comprised two sequential stages: expert interviews [32] and a quantitative survey [33]. This approach gave a comprehensive understanding by combining in-depth qualitative insights with broader quantitative trends.

A. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

In the qualitative phase, 12 expert interviews were conducted with participants selected through purposive sampling. These individuals were drawn from three key stakeholder groups: (1) experienced technical writers with at least five years of practice in business, engineering, or IT-related fields; (2) AI developers or researchers involved in language-based tool design; and (3) academics specializing in computational linguistics or human-computer interaction. Efforts were made to ensure diversity across sectors (academia, industry, government), geographic locations (North America, Europe, Middle East), and disciplines (e.g., engineering, business, environmental science). This heterogeneity was intended to capture a broad spectrum of experiences

Table I. Sample questions and responses

Type	Sample Question	Example Response	Discussion
Interview	*"What are the main benefits you experience using AI tools in report writing?"*	*"AI helps me generate a first draft quickly. I then revise it to ensure technical accuracy."*	Most experts saw AI as helpful in early drafting, though none viewed it as replacing the need for expert review.
Interview	*"Do AI tools accurately reflect domain-specific language in your field?"*	*"No. In engineering documentation, AI often misuses terminology, so I have to rewrite those sections manually."*	Responses emphasized AI's limitations with jargon and field-specific accuracy.
Survey (Likert)	*"Rate the impact of AI tools on your report's grammar and spelling (1–5)."*	Average rating: 4.2	High consensus that grammar tools improve clarity; supports previous findings in Grammarly use cases.
Survey (Open)	*"What ethical concerns do you associate with using AI in technical writing?"*	*"Plagiarism is a concern. I worry that AI might inadvertently reproduce someone else's work too closely."*	Ethical risks were cited by 40% of participants, with plagiarism and authorship uncertainty being recurring themes.

and challenges with AI in technical writing. See Table I for sample questions and answers.

In the quantitative phase, a survey was administered to a broader sample of 83 technical writing professionals, selected through convenience and snowball sampling. Respondents included individuals from engineering, business, scientific research, and software development sectors. Inclusion criteria required participants to have used at least one AI-powered writing tool (e.g., Grammarly, ChatGPT, EndNote) within the last year; the sample aimed to reflect varied levels of familiarity with AI, from novice to expert users.

B. DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Expert interviews were semi-structured to allow flexibility while ensuring consistency across core themes. Depending on participant availability, they lasted 30–60 minutes and were conducted via videoconferencing or in person (see Table II). Each session was audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim for analysis.

The survey instrument was developed using insights from the literature and pilot-tested with five professionals for clarity and relevance. It included closed-ended questions (e.g., Likert scale items on perceived efficiency gains, accuracy, and tool usefulness) and open-ended prompts to capture nuanced feedback.

C. DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

Qualitative data from the interviews were analyzed using thematic analysis, following Braun and Clarke's [34] six-phase framework. After initial familiarization and coding, themes were identified, reviewed, and refined iteratively. Coding was conducted manually, with inter-coder reliability assessed through double-coding a 20% subsample. This yielded a Cohen's kappa of 0.82, indicating strong agreement. Themes focused on AI tool benefits, limitations, domain-specific challenges, and ethical tensions.

Table II. Data collection summary

Component	Details
Interviews Conducted	12 semi-structured interviews with technical writers, AI developers, and academics
Survey Respondents	83 technical writing professionals from diverse sectors (engineering, business, academia)
Sampling Technique	Purposive sampling for interviews, convenience, and snowball sampling for surveys
Interview Duration	30–60 minutes per interview
Survey Format	Mixed: 5-point Likert scale, multiple choice, and open-ended responses

Quantitative survey data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize tool usage patterns and perceived impacts. Correlation analyses were conducted to examine relationships between AI usage frequency and perceived efficiency and report quality improvements. Open-ended responses from the survey were thematically coded and triangulated with interview data to reinforce or contrast key findings.

D. RESEARCH VALIDITY AND LIMITATIONS

Triangulation across methods strengthened the validity of findings, enabling cross-verification of qualitative insights and quantitative trends. However, the study is limited by its modest sample size and the predominance of participants from technology-intensive fields, potentially reducing generalizability to other sectors such as law or education. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data introduces the risk of subjective bias in assessing tool effectiveness.

IV. FINDINGS

The findings presented below are based on expert interviews and analysis of survey results. The key areas investigated were the impact of AI on technical report writing, including efficiency, accuracy, quality, and challenges.

One primary objective of integrating AI into technical report writing is to improve the efficiency of the writing process. The following table summarizes survey responses regarding the time savings experienced by technical writers who utilize AI tools.

Table III shows the breakdown of time saved by different AI tools. As can be seen, the most significant time savings come from ChatGPT-based drafting, followed by citation management tools. AI-assisted structuring also significantly saves time, particularly for reports with complex formatting requirements.

AI tools are also expected to improve the quality and accuracy of technical reports. The table below summarizes survey results regarding the perceived impact of AI on grammar, consistency, and technical accuracy.

The results in Table IV indicate that AI tools like Grammarly and AI-based drafting models are particularly effective at improving grammar and consistency in terminology. However, there is

Table III. Perceived time savings using AI tools in technical report writing

AI Tool Used	Time Saved (Hours per Report)	Percentage of Respondents Reporting Time Savings
Grammarly	1.5 hours	75%
ChatGPT-based Drafting	3 hours	60%
Citation Management (e.g., EndNote)	2 hours	70%
AI-assisted Structuring	1 hour	65%
Total Average	1.8 hours	70%

Table IV. Perceived improvements in report quality and accuracy

Quality/ Accuracy Aspect	Improvement Rating (1 = No Improvement, 5 = Significant Improvement)	Percentage of Respondents Reporting Improvement
Grammar and Spelling	4.2	80%
Consistency in Terminology	4.1	75%
Data Presentation	3.8	70%
Overall Technical Accuracy	3.5	65%

less consensus regarding AI's impact on the overall technical accuracy of reports, especially in highly specialized fields.

Despite the benefits of AI tools, participants identified several challenges, as summarized in Table V.

Expert interviews provided more profound insights into the potential future developments in AI and its role in technical report writing. Key themes from the expert responses can be summarized in three points. Firstly, experts believe that AI tools tailored to specific industries (e.g., engineering, medicine) will become more effective at handling specialized language and terminology. This is particularly important for fields where precision and technical accuracy are critical. Secondly, experts suggested that the future of AI in technical writing lies in collaboration, where AI tools assist with drafting, formatting, and error-checking. At the same time, human writers focus on analysis and critical thinking. Thirdly, ethical concerns regarding plagiarism and AI-generated content will likely continue as AI technology evolves. Experts advocate for stricter guidelines and transparency in AI tool development.

Table V. Challenges in adopting AI for technical writing

Challenge	Percentage of Respondents Facing Difficulty	Description of Challenge
Understanding Technical Jargon	50%	AI tools often struggle to understand domain-specific terms fully.
Ethical Concerns (Plagiarism)	40%	Concerns over the potential for AI to generate content too similar to existing works.
Lack of Customization for Specific Fields	45%	AI tools do not always accommodate the nuances of highly specialized domains.
Human Oversight Requirement	55%	AI-generated content often requires significant human editing.

The findings suggest that AI tools can significantly enhance the efficiency and quality of technical report writing, particularly by saving time, improving grammar and consistency, and automating repetitive tasks. However, technical accuracy, AI's limited understanding of specialized jargon, and ethical considerations remain essential obstacles. As AI continues to evolve, domain-specific tools and more sophisticated collaboration between human writers and AI will likely improve the adoption and effectiveness of AI in technical report writing.

V. DISCUSSION

The findings from this study reinforce the growing consensus that AI tools, when thoughtfully integrated, can significantly enhance technical report writing by improving efficiency, consistency, and surface-level quality. However, a deeper interrogation of the data and insights from expert interviews reveals persistent structural limitations in how these tools perform in professional, domain-specific writing contexts. This discussion critically examines those limitations, highlighting the complex relationship between AI capabilities and the nuanced demands of technical communication.

One of the most quantifiable benefits revealed in this study is the reported time savings AI provides. With 70% of survey respondents indicating reduced time in report preparation, especially when using tools like ChatGPT and EndNote, it is clear that AI enhances productivity in drafting, citation management, and document structuring. However, this gain in efficiency often shifts the writer's role from content creator to content editor. Experts repeatedly emphasized that the time saved on drafting is frequently offset by the time needed to verify accuracy. A civil engineer with over two decades of experience stated, "*Yes, I get a draft faster. But it's a draft with assumptions I need to clean up. It's like having a fast but careless assistant.*"

This analogy captures the core concern: AI speeds up lower-order writing tasks. However, its lack of contextual understanding necessitates high levels of human intervention in the later stages of report refinement, especially when regulatory or safety standards are at stake. Thus, the "efficiency" AI offers is not absolute but contingent on the complexity of the subject matter and the user's domain expertise.

AI tools like Grammarly and ProWritingAid were credited with improving grammar, spelling, and terminology consistency, where rule-based automation excels. These improvements enhance the professionalism of reports and reduce the cognitive burden of proofreading. However, regarding technical depth, the tools reveal their most striking limitations. As one AI researcher noted, *"Language isn't meaning. AI can construct elegant sentences that are technically wrong*." This observation was echoed by multiple professionals in engineering, business, and scientific sectors, where terminology carries precise operational meaning. For example, using "*load*" in structural engineering differs substantially from its use in electrical contexts, yet AI frequently fails to distinguish such nuances. This reveals a crucial misalignment between linguistic fluency and semantic competence. AI may mimic the tone

and rhythm of technical writing. Still, it lacks the embedded conceptual frameworks that human experts use to ensure that what is written is correct, meaningful, and contextually relevant.

Fifty percent of respondents reported difficulties with AI tools misinterpreting or misusing technical jargon. While AI effectively mimics general professional language, it lacks the domain models required to resolve ambiguity in specialized terms. A software documentation manager explained, "*Ask ChatGPT to explain a 'container' and it doesn't know if you mean Docker, shipping, or Tupperware*." This seemingly humorous remark underlines a weakness: AI systems trained on generic datasets are ill-equipped to disambiguate terms that carry multiple meanings across professional domains. This limitation becomes more dangerous in high-stakes fields such as medicine or aviation, where incorrect interpretations of terminology can lead to misinformation or legal liability. Another interviewee warned, "*If AI uses the wrong dosage term in a drug report, that's not just a typo—it's a risk.*" Therefore, while AI may assist with drafting and editing, human domain expertise remains non-negotiable for quality assurance.

The study found that ethical concerns remain central to AI integration in technical writing, with 40% of survey participants identifying plagiarism as a key issue. However, expert interviews revealed a broader ethical landscape encompassing authorship, accountability, transparency, and labor dynamics. In particular, the authorship question emerged as a philosophical and practical dilemma. If a significant portion of a report is AI-generated, to what extent can a human author claim ownership? A university ethics professor remarked, "*We're entering a gray zone. If AI writes the first 10 pages and the author edits it, who's responsible for what*?" This ambiguity seriously affects academic publishing, legal documentation, and regulatory reporting, where authorship is tied to credibility and legal responsibility. Furthermore, participants expressed concern about novice writers' over-reliance on AI, potentially stunting the development of core skills. "*Students no longer learn how to think on the page*," lamented one educator, warning of a generation of technical professionals who may lack the writing acumen critical for clear, analytical communication.

Several experts have proposed ethical integration frameworks as the solution: clear policies that define acceptable use, require AI disclosures, and emphasize human accountability, particularly in high-risk documentation.

Despite its limitations, the study affirms that AI can play a valuable role as a collaborative partner in the technical writing process. Several experts praised AI's ability to enhance collaboration in multi-author environments, particularly in large-scale projects where maintaining stylistic and structural consistency is challenging. An engineering manager explained, "*AI gives us a baseline tone and structure. That way, when ten people contribute to a report, it doesn't read like ten different voices.*" This capability is not trivial. In industries such as construction, business, or environmental planning, where interdisciplinary teams compile reports, AI can serve as an editorial unifier, smoothing tone, terminology, and formatting discrepancies. Yet, this collaborative model depends on a redefined workflow where human roles shift from creation to curation. Writers must learn to think critically about AI outputs, accepting its assistance in some areas while rejecting it in others. This dual competency, technical writing and AI literacy, will likely become a core requirement for future professionals.

The long-term solution to AI's current limitations lies in developing domain-adaptive AI systems, tools trained on specialized corpora that incorporate expert terminology, methodological logic, and compliance rules. These systems would ideally combine the linguistic agility of large language models with the precision of structured knowledge graphs and expert ontologies. Several experts advocated for a "co-pilot" model, in which AI assists with language generation while remaining transparent about its sources, assumptions, and limitations. "*We don't need AI to write reports for us,"* said one pharmaceutical researcher. *"We need it to ask the right questions, spot inconsistencies, and help us stay organized."* To achieve this vision, tool developers must work closely with technical writers, domain experts, and ethicists to ensure that future AI systems do more than automate; they must augment human judgment and adhere to professional standards.

This shows that AI offers a dual reality. On one hand, AI offers measurable benefits in efficiency, consistency, and collaborative alignment. On the other hand, it suffers from structural limitations in domain understanding, ethical accountability, and semantic accuracy, especially in professional environments that demand rigor, precision, and originality. For AI to truly transform technical writing, future tools must be designed not as replacements for human expertise but as sophisticated partners that support it. This transformation will require better models, institutional policies, ethical frameworks, and educational reforms that empower professionals to use AI wisely, responsibly, and effectively.

VI. THE FUTURE OF AI IN TECHNICAL WRITING

Looking ahead, the future of AI in technical report writing seems promising, particularly with the development of more specialized AI tools that are better suited to domain-specific content. AI tools trained on specialized data sets and industry-specific terminology can significantly improve their understanding of technical jargon and enhance their ability to generate accurate and contextually appropriate content. Advances in deep learning and neural networks could lead to more sophisticated models better at interpreting complex technical data, reducing the reliance on human editing for accuracy. Additionally, as AI tools evolve, their role in collaborative writing environments may become more prominent. Tools that assist with real-time collaboration between multiple writers can help ensure consistency and cohesion in multi-author technical reports, improving both the writing process and the final product.

VII. CONCLUSION

This study explored AI's transformative potential in enhancing technical report writing, focusing on its impact on efficiency and quality and the challenges faced during its adoption. The findings suggest that AI tools, such as grammar checkers, citation management systems, and AI-based drafting assistants, can significantly reduce the time required to produce technical reports, improve consistency, and enhance the overall quality of writing. In particular, AI's ability to streamline repetitive tasks like grammar checking and referencing offers substantial productivity gains for technical writers.

Despite these advantages, the study also identified several limitations and challenges in applying AI to technical writing. While AI excels in essential writing functions, it still struggles with maintaining domain-specific technical accuracy and understanding specialized jargon. As a result, human oversight remains critical, especially in fields requiring deep technical knowledge. Additionally, ethical concerns regarding plagiarism and the originality of

AI-generated content pose significant challenges, underscoring the need for clear guidelines and transparency in developing and using AI writing tools.

The future of AI in technical writing holds promise, with the potential for more specialized, domain-specific tools that can improve accuracy and efficiency. As AI technology evolves, it will likely become a more integral part of the technical writing process, complementing human expertise rather than replacing it. However, addressing the ethical, practical, and technical challenges identified in this study is crucial to fully realizing AI's potential.

While AI has proven to be a valuable tool in technical report writing, its integration requires careful consideration of its capabilities and limitations. By continuing to develop more sophisticated AI tools and ensuring human oversight, AI can significantly enhance the technical writing process, making it faster, more accurate, and more efficient without compromising the critical role of human expertise.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

[1] A. B. Rashid and A. K. Kausik, "AI revolutionizing industries worldwide: a comprehensive overview of its diverse applications," Hybrid Adv., vol. 7, p. 100277, 2024.

[2] M. Oladeinde, E. C. Okeleke, O. R. Adaramodu, O. G. Fakeyede, and O. A. Farayola, "Communicating IT audit findings: strategies for effective stakeholder engagement," Comput. Sci. IT Res. J., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 126–139, 2023.

[3] S. E. Rosenbaum et al., "Developing evidence to decision frameworks and an interactive evidence to decision tool for making and using decisions and recommendations in health care," Glob. Chall, vol. 2, no. 9, p. 1700081, 2018.

[4] S. A. Assefa, D. Dervovic, M. Mahfouz, R. E. Tillman, P. Reddy, and M. Veloso, "Generating synthetic data in finance: opportunities, challenges, and pitfalls," in *Proc. First ACM Int. Conf. on AI in Finance*, 2020, pp. 1–8.

[5] H. Ali, "The impact of natural language processing on streamlined operations in US manufacturing and logistics: enhancing productivity," Distrib. Learn. Broad Appl. Sci. Res., vol. 10, pp. 235–254, 2024.

[6] R. Ofori-Boateng, M. Aceves-Martins, N. Wiratunga, and C. F. Moreno-Garcia, "Towards the automation of systematic reviews using natural language processing, machine learning, and deep learning: a comprehensive review," Artif. Intell. Rev., vol. 57, no. 8, p. 200, 2024.

[7] M. R. Khatri, "Integration of natural language processing, self-service platforms, predictive maintenance, and prescriptive analytics for cost reduction, personalization, and real-time insights customer service and operational efficiency," Int. J. Inf. Cybersecur., vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 1–30, 2023.

[8] Y. Zhong, F. Xu, and L. Zhang, "Influence of artificial intelligence applications on total factor productivity of enterprises—evidence from textual analysis of annual reports of Chinese-listed companies," Appl. Econ., vol. 56, no. 43, pp. 5205–5223, 2024.

[9] M. A. AlAfnan and S. F. MohdZuki, "Do artificial intelligence chatbots have a writing style? An investigation into the stylistic features of ChatGPT-4," J. Artif. Intell. Technol., vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 85–94, 2023.

[10] P. P. Ray, "ChatGPT: a comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations, and future scope," Internet Things Cyber-Phys. Syst., vol. 3, pp. 121–154, 2023.

[11] P. Acosta-Vargas, G. Acosta-Vargas, B. Salvador-Acosta, and J. Jadán-Guerrero, "Addressing Web accessibility challenges with generative artificial intelligence tools for inclusive education," in *Proc. 2024 Tenth Int. Conf. on eDemocracy & eGovernment (ICEDEG)*, 2024, pp. 1–7.

[12] M. Khalifa and M. Albadawy, "AI in diagnostic imaging: revolutionising accuracy and efficiency," Comput. Methods Programs Biomed. Update, vol. 5, p. 100146, 2024.

[13] C. Lee, S. Britto, and K. Diwan, "Evaluating the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on clinical documentation efficiency and accuracy across clinical settings: a scoping review," Cureus, vol. 16, no. 11, p. e73994, 2024.

[14] A. Gupta, R. Anand, N. Sindhwani, M. Mittal, and A. Dahiya, "Performance and accuracy enhancement of machine learning & IoT-based agriculture precision AI system," SN Comput. Sci., vol. 5, no. 7, p. 930, 2024.

[15] Y. K. Dwivedi et al., "Opinion paper: 'so what if ChatGPT wrote it?' Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy," Int. J. Inf. Manag., vol. 71, p. 102642, 2023.

[16] B. D. Lund et al., "ChatGPT and a new academic reality: artificial Intelligence-written research papers and the ethics of the large language models in scholarly publishing," J. Assoc. Inf. Sci. Technol., vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 570–581, 2023.

[17] S. Mondal, S. Das, and V. G. Vrana, "How to bell the cat? A theoretical review of generative artificial intelligence towards digital disruption in all walks of life," Technol., vol. 11, no. 2, p. 44, 2023.

[18] D. Ajiga, P. A. Okeleke, S. O. Folorunsho, and C. Ezeigweneme, "Enhancing software development practices with AI insights in high-tech companies," Comput. Sci. IT Res. J., vol. 5, no. 8, pp. 1897–1919, 2024.

[19] M. Khan et al., "Applications of artificial intelligence in COVID-19 pandemic: a comprehensive review," Expert Syst. Appl., vol. 185, p. 115695, 2021.

[20] X. Yang et al., "An artificial intelligence system for distinguishing between gastrointestinal stromal tumors and leiomyomas using endoscopic ultrasonography," Endosc, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 251–261, 2022.

[21] D. Liu, H. Kong, X. Luo, W. Liu, and R. Subramaniam, "Bringing AI to edge: from deep learning's perspective," Neurocomputing, vol. 485, pp. 297–320, 2022.

[22] R. Sajja et al., "Integrating generative AI in hackathons: opportunities, challenges, and educational implications," Big Data Cogn. Comput., vol. 8, no. 12, p. 188, 2024.

[23] D. Baidoo-Anu and L. O. Ansah, "Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (AI): understanding the potential benefits of ChatGPT in promoting teaching and learning," J. AI, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 52–62, 2023.

[24] A. Abulibdeh, E. Zaidan, and R. Abulibdeh, "Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of Industry 4.0: challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions," J. Clean. Prod., vol. 437, p. 140527, 2024.

[25] R. Cheng et al., "Mapping the design space of human-AI interaction in text summarization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.14863*, 2022.

[26] C. Zhai, S. Wibowo, and L. D. Li, "The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review," Smart Learn. Environ., vol. 11, no. 1, p. 28, 2024.

[27] M. A. AlAfnan, S. Dishari, and S. F. MohdZuki, "Developing soft skills in the artificial intelligence era: communication, business

writing, and composition skills," J. Artif. Intell. Technol., vol. 4, pp. 305–317, 2024.
[28] H. Roberts et al., "Artificial intelligence regulation in the United Kingdom: a path to good governance and global leadership?," Internet Policy Rev., vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1–31, 2023.
[29] T. K. Lee, "Artificial intelligence and posthumanist translation: ChatGPT versus the translator," Appl. Linguist. Rev., vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 2351–2372, 2024.
[30] P. Kumar, S. K. Sharma, and V. Dutot, "Artificial intelligence (AI)-enabled CRM capability in healthcare: the impact on service innovation," Int. J. Inf. Manag., vol. 69, p. 102598, 2023.
[31] M. A. AlAfnan, "Artificial intelligence and language: bridging Arabic and English with technology," J. Ecohumanism, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 240–256, 2025.
[32] A. Bogner, B. Littig, and W. Menz, "Introduction: expert interviews —an introduction to a new methodological debate," in Interviewing Experts, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2009, pp. 1–13.
[33] F. Scheuren, What Is a Survey? Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association, 2004.
[34] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Toward good practice in thematic analysis: avoiding common problems and be(com)ing a knowing researcher," Int. J. Transgend. Health, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2023.